Modification Notice - Regulation 22

| Interest Holder | Santos QNT Pty | | McArthur Basin Hyd Fracturing Program Exploration Permit (E | NT | JT Unique EIMP | | Change/ Mod No. | 2 | Date | 23/12/22 | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|----------------------------|--|---|---|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Brief Description | | | rols and monitoring re letter from the intere | | - | - | | reduced | d risk o | n the | | | |
| Geospatial Files Included? | No | No | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Does the proposed change result in a new, or increased, potential or actual environmental impact or risk? | If an INCREASE in an existing potential or actual environmental impact or risk is it provided for in the approved EMP? | Does the proposed change require additional mitigation measures to be included? | Has additional stakeholder engagement been conducted? | Does it r additiona environm performa standard measure criteria? | al conental Sance As and C | Does it affect compliance with facred Site Authority Certificates? | rehabilita weed, fire wastewa erosion a sediment spill or er | Does it affect current rehabilitation, weed, fire, wastewater, erosion and sediment control, spill or emergency response plans? | | Will the environmental putcome continue to be chieved and will the impacts and risks be managed to ALARP and ceceptable? | | | |
| No | N/A | No | No | No | N | 1o | No | | Υ | 'es | | | |
| Current EMP Tex | kt | | | Amende | d EMP Text | t | | | | | | | |
| approval to conduction (production) tests of (HFS commenced in Inacumba (Inacumba Program will commassessment is com | Activity pletion of the well dril ct a program of hydrau of the Velkerri Format in 2019 and is ongoing oa-1/1H, Inacumba-2H nence only after a succe plete for each individu e for the Hydraulic Fra | ulic fracture stimulation at the Tanumbirg), Tanumbirini-2H, The Hycessful drilling and wall well. The Drilling | ions and appraisal ini (Tanumbirini-1 Fanumbirini-3H) and draulic Fracturing vell integrity and Civil works | Description of the Activity Following the completion of the well drilling operations, the operator is seeking approval to conduct a program of hydraulic fracture stimulations and appraisal (production) tests of the Velkerri Formation at the Tanumbirini (Tanumbirini-1 (HFS commenced in 2019 and is ongoing), Tanumbirini-2H, Tanumbirini-3H) and Inacumba (Inacumba-1/1H, Inacumba-2H) locations. The Hydraulic Fracturing Program will commence only after a successful drilling and well integrity assessment is complete for each individual well. The Drilling and Civil works required to prepare for the Hydraulic Fracturing Program, including the upgrading of access tracks and creation | | | | | | | | | |



of access tracks and creation of infrastructure at the lease pad, are covered in separate EMPs which have been submitted to the Department of Environment, Parks and Water Security (DEPWS).

The HFS program will be followed by production testing. It is anticipated that the production testing of each well will run between 90 and 300 days.

HFS is not part of the drilling process but is a completion technique applied after the well is drilled. The intent of HFS is to place a highly conductive channel (sand size proppant) into the reservoir to Santos Ltd I Environment Management Plan: McArthur Basin Hydraulic Fracturing Program I 1 September 2021 Page iii increase the flow capacity of the well and increase the production of gas. The process involves the injection of a water based fluid system, at high pressure, into a cased wellbore over a number of intervals or stages along the reservoir interval(s) intersected by a well. This technique is typically used in low permeability reservoirs that cannot otherwise sustain economic production, such as shale. It is a process that has been used in the oil and gas industry since 1947 and has been successfully used on wells in the Cooper Basin for nearly 50 years. This activity is currently performed in many basins around Australia, including the Amadeus Basin in the Northern Territory.

On completion of production testing, the wells will either be suspended for future re-entry, suspended on build-up, or decommissioned with permanent cement plugs. For suspended wells, wellbore barriers will be put in place and will be monitored through a Well Integrity Monitoring Plan; and the well and well-pad will be monitored and maintained. At the completion of operations all surface infrastructure will be removed (excluding the well head).

See: Table 8-1 Environmental Outcomes, Environmental Performance Standards and Measurement Criteria

See: Table 6-1 Risk Assessment for proposed activities

of infrastructure at the lease pad, are covered in separate EMPs which have been submitted to the Department of Environment, Parks and Water Security (DEPWS). The HFS program will be followed by production testing. It is anticipated that the production testing of each well will run between 90 and 300 days.

HFS is not part of the drilling process but is a completion technique applied after the well is drilled. The intent of HFS is to place a highly conductive channel (sand size proppant) into the reservoir to Santos Ltd I Environment Management Plan: McArthur Basin Hydraulic Fracturing Program I 1 September 2021 Page iii increase the flow capacity of the well and increase the production of gas. The process involves the injection of a water based fluid system, at high pressure, into a cased wellbore over a number of intervals or stages along the reservoir interval(s) intersected by a well. This technique is typically used in low permeability reservoirs that cannot otherwise sustain economic production, such as shale. It is a process that has been used in the oil and gas industry since 1947 and has been successfully used on wells in the Cooper Basin for nearly 50 years. This activity is currently performed in many basins around Australia, including the Amadeus Basin in the Northern Territory. The modifications to the EMP dated 23 December 2022, updated controls and

monitoring reflect reduced operational activity and associated reduced risk on the Tanumbirini well pad.

If there is a requirement for increased operational activity, resulting in an increased

If there is a requirement for increased operational activity, resulting in an increased environmental risk, to be conducted under this EMP (STO3-8) then a revised Modification Notice will be submitted to ensure appropriate monitoring and reporting will be conducted.

On completion of production testing, the wells will either be suspended for future reentry, suspended on build-up, or decommissioned with permanent cement plugs. For suspended wells, wellbore barriers will be put in place and will be monitored through a Well Integrity Monitoring Plan; and the well and well-pad will be monitored and maintained. At the completion of operations all surface infrastructure will be removed (excluding the well head).

See revised wording shaded in grey in updated in Table 8-1 Environmental Outcomes, Environmental Performance Standards and Measurement Criteria (below)

See revised wording shaded in grey in updated in Table 6-1 Risk Assessment for proposed activities (below)

| See: Table 8-5 Environmental Monitoring | See revised wording shaded in grey in updated in Table 8-5 Environmental Monitoring (below) |
|---|--|
| 3.3.9 Flow-back and Well Testing Activities | 3.3.9 Flow-back and Well Testing Activities |
| An onsite, 24 hr per day water management crew will be on location to: record and track daily pond volumes, and ensure minimum freeboards are maintained track water movement (Input from bores, water transfer, rainfall, and flowback. Output from evaporation, road watering) pump water from the top of the cover(s) during rainfall ensure early detection of any leaks transfer water to enclosed ponds within 8 hrs of a Significant Rainfall Event amend pond(s) as required to manage flowback requirements | During flowback activities onsite, 24 hr per day water management crew will be on location to: record and track daily pond volumes, and ensure minimum freeboards are maintained track water movement (Input from bores, water transfer, rainfall, and flowback. Output from evaporation, road watering) pump water from the top of the cover(s) during rainfall ensure early detection of any leaks transfer water to enclosed ponds within 8 hrs of a Significant Rainfall Event amend pond(s) as required to manage flowback requirements |
| 7.2.2.3 Fire Mitigation Measures | 7.2.2.3 Fire Mitigation Measures |
| All project infrastructure will be designed and constructed to mitigate risks of ignition. Project specific requirement to mitigate risk of ignition include: | All project infrastructure will be designed and constructed to mitigate risks of ignition. Project specific requirement to mitigate risk of ignition include: |
| Fire-fighting equipment and competent fire-fighting personnel will be available. vehicles will be equipped with portable fire extinguishers. Machinery and vehicles should be parked in areas of low fire risk and be free of any combustible material. Any petrol motor vehicles or petrol-powered pumps will be fitted with spark arresters. All vehicles will be equipped with operational VHF and / or UHF radio transceivers. Smoking will only be permitted in areas clear of vegetation, and there will be no disposal of butts. | Fire-fighting equipment and competent fire-fighting personnel will be available during stimulation, flowback and testing activities. vehicles will be equipped with portable fire extinguishers. Machinery and vehicles should be parked in areas of low fire risk and be free of any combustible material. Any petrol motor vehicles or petrol-powered pumps will be fitted with spark arresters. All vehicles will be equipped with operational VHF and / or UHF radio transceivers. Smoking will only be permitted in areas clear of vegetation, and there will be no disposal of butts. |
| 7.2.3.2 Operational Fire Monitoring | 7.2.3.2 Operational Fire Monitoring |

The Santos Onsite Company Representative is responsible for monitoring for bushfire alerts (primarily via the https://securent.nt.gov.au/alerts and

https://www.bushfires.nt.gov.au/incidentmap/ websites and notifying all site personnel of the risks of fire. Communication of these alerts will via the daily toolbox meetings. Where bushfire alert information becomes known after the toolbox meeting, the Onsite Company Representative will communicate to all site personnel.

Whenever personnel are onsite the Santos Onsite Company Representative is responsible for monitoring for bushfire alerts (primarily via the https://securent.nt.gov.au/alerts and <a href="https

Appendix H: Spill Management Plan

4.2 Minimising the Risk of a Spill

Through the implementation of the mitigations measures provided in the Table 6-1 of this EMP the following mitigation measures will be taken to minimise the risk of a spill at the well pad:

• Daily checks of pits, dams, flowlines, tanks and chemical storage areas.

4.3 Spill Detection

The hydraulic fracturing program will utilise a leak detection system designed to quickly identify the presence of leaks. The leak detection system includes the following:

- Each pond has two unperforated liners, plus a third liner that is connected to the leak detection system.
- common sunken leak detection system is connected hydraulically via pipe work to a sunken leak detection system.
- a leak is detected at the leak detection manifold, an audible alarm and flashing light will be activated.
- Alarm system will be connected via the flowback/well test DAQ (data acquisition system) to ensure immediate response.

Appendix H: Spill Management Plan

4.2 Minimising the Risk of a Spill

Through the implementation of the mitigations measures provided in the Table 6-1 of this EMP the following mitigation measures will be taken to minimise the risk of a spill at the well pad:

• Daily Monthly checks of pits, dams, flowlines, tanks and chemical storage areas.

4.3 Spill Detection

The hydraulic fracturing program will utilise a leak detection system designed to quickly identify the presence of leaks. The leak detection system includes the following:

- Each pond has two unperforated liners, plus a third liner that is connected to the leak detection system.
- common sunken leak detection system is connected hydraulically via pipe work to a sunken leak detection system.
- a leak is detected at the leak detection manifold, an audible alarm and flashing light will be activated.
- Alarm system will be connected via telemetry the flowback/well test DAQ (data acquisition system), or other, to ensure immediate response.

Table 8-1 Environmental Outcomes, Environmental Performance Standards and Measurement Criteria

| Risk Sources | Environmental Outcome | Environmental Performance Standards | Measurement Criteria and Records |
|--|--|--|---|
| Environmental Value: Terrest | rial Ecosystems | | |
| | | | IVMS records show 60 km/hr speed limit adhered to and any non-compliance recorded |
| Vehicle and plant movements generating noise and vibration and | | | Site induction records show all personnel have completed site inductions which include driving. |
| disturbing wildlife | | | IVMS records show no off-road driving. |
| Vehicle movement, project activities and camps generating light and | No significant impact to threatened flora or | Augid imports to | Equipment maintenance logs demonstrate engines and machinery have been maintained in accordance with required maintenance schedule and have been fitted with noise suppression devices. |
| disturbing wildlife | | Avoid impacts to threatened flora or fauna species, their habitat or | Audit records of lighting at the camp show inward-facing lights that are adequate for safe operations. |
| Disturbance, injury or death to terrestrial fauna due to vehicle strike, HFS activities and / or entrapment in open excavations Waste stored inappropriately attracting native fauna | fauna species, their habitat or sites of conservation significance resulting from conduct of the regulated activity. | sites of conservation significance | Daily checklist shows inspection of fences, excavations, pits, storages for entrapped fauna and fauna escapes intact. Daily checklist shows inspection of pits and storages, using camera via telemetry or visual inspection, for entrapped fauna and fauna escapes intact. Monthly checklist shows inspection of pits and storages for entrapped fauna. Daily checklist shows all domestic waste receptacles have lids secured. |
| | | | Audit records show only waste from approved wastewater systems and grey water disposed of to land. |
| Vehicle and plant movements generating dust | | Avoid dust associated impacts to threatened flora | Records show when and where water trucks have been used for dust control including weather condition observations. |
| and depositing on flora | | or fauna species, their | IVMS records show no off-road driving. |

| Risk Sources | Environmental Outcome | Environmental Performance Standards | Measurement Criteria and Records | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | habitat or sites of conservation. | IVMS records show 60 km/hr speed limit adhered to and any non-compliance recorded. | | | | | |
| Plant and vehicles | | No introduction of new species of weeds or plant | Weed monitoring shows no new weed species introduced to work area. Weeds identified in work areas will be treated in accordance with advice from NT Weeds Management Officer. | | | | | |
| distributing weeds from outside or within the project area | | pathogens, or increase in | Audit records show weed management plan implemented. | | | | | |
| | | abundance of existing weed species, as a result the | Hygiene declaration available for all vehicles coming into EP161 on each occasion. | | | | | |
| | | regulated activity. | Site induction records show all personnel have completed site inductions which include information on weeds in the region and method of spread | | | | | |
| | | | Santos's records system shows no uncontrolled fires as a result of the regulated activity. | | | | | |
| | | | Site induction records show all personnel have completed site inductions, which include information on fire risk and impact to landholder for unplanned fire. | | | | | |
| | | | Weekly checklist shows SDS available and appropriate firefighting equipment are stationed at flammable material stores | | | | | |
| Ignition sources from flare, plant and machinery, cigarettes causing fire. | | No uncontrolled fire in EP161 as a result of conduct of the regulated activity. | Weekly checklist shows all vehicles have portable fire extinguishers and operational VHF or UHF radio transceivers. | | | | | |
| cigarettes causing life. | | of the regulated activity. | Vegetation free safety zones around flares; vegetation will not be exposed to a radiant heat exceeding 6.31kW/m2 from flares. | | | | | |
| | | | No use of petrol motor vehicles and audits show all petrol powered pumps have spark arresters fitted. | | | | | |
| | | | Training records shows staff trained in use of fire-fighting equipment. | | | | | |
| | | | Training records verify that operations personnel participate in at least annual fire and emergency drills. | | | | | |

| Risk Sources | Environmental Outcome | Environmental Performance Standards | Measurement Criteria and Records | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Vegetation removal requirements will be assessed during the post wet weed survey when vegetation growth will be greatest. If required, slashing / grading will occur to remove well site vegetation. | | | | | |
| | | | Records show toolbox meeting discussions of fire risk levels and fire risk management and remedial actions. | | | | | |
| | | | Records show daily assessment of fire risk during dry season. | | | | | |
| | | | No smoking from flare, plant and other machinery allowed on site and any instance of smoking recorded as a nonconformance. | | | | | |
| Inappropriate storage or handling of potentially | | No releases of wastes, wastewater, chemicals or | Weekly inspection checklists confirm (via camera telemetry or visual inspection) all hazardous materials are stored and managed in accordance with the EMP, the Code of practice and the WOMP e.g. chemicals will be stored in a bunded dangerous goods storage area, routine inspection of chemical stores. | | | | | |
| hazardous substances Transport vehicle accident due to weather | | hydrocarbons resulting in significant impact to | Remediation to commence immediately after spills, recorded in the Santos Incident Management System and reported to DEPWS when required. | | | | | |
| Overflow of tanks or pits Leaks from storage tanks and flowline failure | | threatened flora or fauna species, their habitat or sites of conservation significance | Daily inspection records confirm the freeboard is maintained in accordance with the WWMP, e.g. 1.5m in the wet season for open tanks containing wastewater, and that the pit/tank integrity is maintained. | | | | | |
| Chemical leaks and spills | | | Audit records show Bureau of Meteorology provided timely notification of significant rainfall event and site evacuation plan put into pace following notification. | | | | | |
| Environmental Value: Terrest | rial Environmental Quality | | | | | | | |
| Vehicles leave the previously | No significant impact to the quality and integrity | No upouthorized abusins | Site induction records shows all personnel have completed site inductions which include driving. | | | | | |
| constructed roads or work areas | of land and soils resulting from conduct | No unauthorised physical disturbance to soil. | Records show the erosion and sediment control plan implemented prior to the commencement of the activity | | | | | |
| | of the regulated activity | | IVMS records show no off-road driving. | | | | | |

| Risk Sources | Environmental Outcome | Environmental Performance Standards | Measurement Criteria and Records | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Inappropriate storage or handling of potentially hazardous substances Transport vehicle accident due to weather Overflow of tanks or pits | | Weekly inspection checklists confirm all hazardous materials are stored and managed in accordance with the EMP, the Code of practice and the WOMP e.g. chemicals will be stored in a | Weekly inspection checklists confirm (via camera telemetry or visual inspection) all hazardous materials are stored and managed in accordance with the EMP, the Code of practice and the WOMP e.g. chemicals will be stored in a bunded dangerous goods storage area, routine inspection of chemical stores. Remediation to commence immediately after spills, recorded in the Santos Incident Management System and reported to DEPWS when required. Daily inspection records confirm the freeboard is maintained in accordance with the WWMP, e.g. 1.5m in the wet season for open tanks containing | | | | | |
| Leaks from storage tanks and flowline failure Chemical leaks and spills | | bunded dangerous goods storage area, routine inspection of chemical stores. | wastewater, and that the pit/tank integrity is maintained. Audit records show Bureau of Meteorology provided timely notification significant rainfall event and site evacuation plan put into pace following | | | | | |
| Environmental Value: Inland I | Environmental Water Oual | itv | notification. | | | | | |
| | | | Records confirm well critical acceptance criteria met. | | | | | |
| Cross-flow during hydraulic fracturing, testing and | No impact on Inland | No releases of wastes, | Well control monitoring demonstrates adequate well control. Weekly inspection checklists confirm (via camera telemetry or visual inspection) all hazardous materials are stored and managed in accordance with the EMP, the Code of practice and the WOMP. | | | | | |
| decommissioning. Faults or major structures enables | environmental water quality resulting from | wastewater, chemicals, hydrocarbons resulting in | Remediation to commence immediately after spills, recorded in the Santos Incident Management System and reported to DEPWS when required. | | | | | |
| crossflow Insufficient isolation between wells in target formation | conduct of the regulated activity | contamination of the waters. | Road conditions for heavy vehicle transport will be assessed prior to mobilisation on unsealed roads. | | | | | |
| tal get lottilution | | | Daily inspection records confirm the freeboard is maintained in accordance with the WWMP, e.g. 1.5m in the wet season for open tanks containing wastewater, and that the pit/tank integrity is maintained. | | | | | |

| Risk Sources | Environmental Outcome | Environmental Performance Standards | Measurement Criteria and Records | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Audit records show Bureau of Meteorology provided timely notification significant rainfall event and site evacuation plan put into pace following notification. | | | | | |
| Project groundwater | | No reduction to groundwater resource | Reported groundwater extraction volumes do not exceed annual licence limit for relevant water source. | | | | | |
| extraction results in the reduction in groundwater quantity | | availability in the area as a result of the regulated activity. | Groundwater monitoring results show static water level is relatively unchanged as a result of the regulated activity and water availability is unchanged as a result of the regulated activity. | | | | | |
| Environmental Value: Air Qua | ality and Atmospheric Proc | esses | | | | | | |
| Vehicle and plant | | | Audit records demonstrate that actual emissions were reported in compliance with NGERS. | | | | | |
| movements | | | Annual greenhouse gas emissions reported to DEPWS, including a comparison of actual emissions and estimated emissions in the EMP. | | | | | |
| | No significant impact on | | Equipment maintenance logs demonstrate engines and machinery have been maintained in accordance with required maintenance schedule. | | | | | |
| Production testing flaring | air quality and minimise greenhouse gas emissions as a result of | Minimise greenhouse gas emissions resultant from the regulated activity. | Reducing the production testing period to well below 300 days if the required data for confirming development potential of the reservoir can be obtained in a shorter timeframe | | | | | |
| | the regulated activity. | | In accordance with Clause B.4.13.2(k) gas will be flared in the first instance, unless there is insufficient gas flow or there is a safety hazard. If the separator is bypassed, this will be recorded in the daily report. | | | | | |
| Fugitive emissions | | | If leaks are identified, they are classified in accordance with section D.5.5 of the Code, and Leak Response and Notification is in accordance with the MEMP. | | | | | |
| Environmental Value: Human | Health | | | | | | | |

| Risk Sources | Environmental Outcome | Environmental Performance Standards | Measurement Criteria and Records | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Records show when and where water trucks have been used for dust control including weather condition observations. | | | | | | |
| Noise from vehicle | No reduction in amenity or impact on the | Dust generation on the well | Site induction records show all personnel have completed site inductions which include driving. | | | | | | |
| movements and HFS activities results in noise disturbance to landholders | respiratory health of nearby stakeholders as a result of the regulated | pad and access tracks in the dry season will be minimised. | IVMS records show 60 km/hr speed limit adhered to and any non-compliance recorded. | | | | | | |
| distansance to idiranolacis | activity | minimised. | IVMS records show no off-road driving. | | | | | | |
| | , | | Stakeholder engagement records demonstrate dust complaints were investigated and actioned appropriately. | | | | | | |
| Environmental Factor: Comm | unities and Economy | | | | | | | | |
| Noise from vehicle movements and HFS | | Noise complaints from vehicle movements and | Stakeholder engagement records demonstrate noise complaints were investigated and actioned appropriately | | | | | | |
| activities results in noise disturbance to landholders | | activities associated with the regulated activity are minimised. | Equipment maintenance logs demonstrate engines and machinery have been maintained in accordance with required maintenance schedule and have been fitted with noise suppression devices. | | | | | | |
| Vehicle movements, HFS | Minimise negative | Disturbance, injury or death | Daily Monthly inspection records show fences are intact, gates are left in the condition in which they were found and no livestock entrapment. | | | | | | |
| activities, and entrapment in | impact to communities and | to livestock from vehicle | No livestock deaths from vehicle movements and project activities. | | | | | | |
| open pits results in disturbance, injury or death | enhance the economy as a result of the | movements and project activities avoided through | Site induction records show all personnel have completed site inductions which include driving. | | | | | | |
| to livestock | regulated activity | active stakeholder engagement | Stakeholder engagement records demonstrate active stakeholder engagement (e.g. notification prior to the commencement of activities). | | | | | | |
| Vehicle and plant movements throughout the | | Disturbance to landholders | Stakeholder engagement records demonstrate active stakeholder engagement (e.g. notification prior to the commencement of activities). | | | | | | |
| project area results in disturbance to landholders | | from vehicle movements and HFS activities minimised. | Stakeholder engagement records demonstrate vehicle movement and/or HFS activity complaints are investigated and actioned appropriately. | | | | | | |

| Risk Sources | Environmental Outcome | Environmental Performance Standards | Measurement Criteria and Records | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | Site induction records show all personnel have completed site inductions which include driving. | | | | | |
| Environmental Factor: Culture | and heritage | | | | | | | |
| Vehicle and plant movements throughout the | Protect sacred sites, | No impact to sacred sites, | Audit records show that all activities occur within the areas shown in AAPA Authority Certificate C2019/043. | | | | | |
| project area results in disturbance to sacred sites. | culture and heritage | culture and heritage as a result of project activities. | Records show that sacred site data provided for it in the GIS is accurate, maintained and updated | | | | | |

Table 6-1 Risk Assessment for proposed activities

| Risk Event | Potential | Relevant | P: 1.0 | | nitial Ri Ranking | | Mitigation and Management Measu | res | | sidual F Ranking | | Effective | Uncertainty Ranking | |
|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------|---|---|---|---|---------------------|---|-----------|--|--|
| RISK EVENT | Impact | Environmental Factor | Risk Source | L | С | R | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | L | С | R | Controls | oncertainty running | |
| Physical disturbance including vehicle and plant movements | Disturbance to soil | Terrestrial environmental quality | Vehicles leave the previously constructed roads or work areas | F | I | 2 | Driving is only permitted on designated access roads | A.3.1 Site Selection and Planning A.3.4 Erosion and sediment control | С | I | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established management practices (e.g. Land Clearing Guidelines and the ESCP) | |
| Physical disturbance including vehicle and plant movements | Disturbance to Aboriginal archaeological sites | Culture and Heritage | Vehicles leave the previously constructed roads or work areas | В | II | 1 | Archaeological surveys completed by independent consultant(s) prior to activity commencement. Results indicate that no Aboriginal archaeological or historical sites/relics will be encountered or impacted by proposed activities in this portion of EP161 Driving is only permitted on designated access roads | A.3.1 Site Selection and Planning | А | I | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood heritage survey complete with avoidance measures in place | |
| Groundwater extraction | Reduction in groundwater quantity | Hydrological processes | Use of groundwater for project activities | В | П | 1 | Valid water extraction licence in place prior to extraction Compliance with water extraction licence limits and conditions Ensure groundwater extraction is limited to the volumes required by the hydraulic fracture program (See water use estimates in Section 3.8). Bore numbers and estimated extraction volumes will be provided to DITT and DEPWS. | A.3.1 Site Selection and Planning B.4.17 Groundwater monitoring | А | II | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood. The regional understanding of the CLA is sufficient to understand the risks. Groundwater Monitoring has been undertaken and will continue. | |
| Groundwater extraction | Reduction in groundwater available for other users | Communities and economy | Use of groundwater for project activities | В | IV | 2 | Valid water extraction licence in place prior to extraction Compliance with water extraction licence limits and conditions Ensure groundwater extraction is limited to the volumes required by the hydraulic fracture program (See water use estimates in Section 3.8). Bore numbers and estimated extraction volumes will be provided to DITT and DEPWS. | B.4.17 Groundwater monitoring | А | III | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood. The regional understanding of the CLA is sufficient to understand the risks. Groundwater Monitoring has been undertaken and will continue. | |
| Creation of dust | Smothering of flora | Terrestrial ecosystems | Vehicle and plant movements | F | II | 3 | Driving is only permitted on designated access roads. Speeds on unsealed roads will be limited to a maximum of 60 km/hr. Water trucks will be used, to manage dust emissions from vehicle movement associated with hydraulic fracture activities as appropriate. | A.3.1 Site Selection and Planning A.3.5 Biodiversity protection | В | I | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established and proven management practices. | |
| Creation of dust | Loss of amenity | Communities and economy | Vehicle and plant movements | F | ı | 2 | Driving is only permitted on designated access roads. Speeds on unsealed roads will be limited to a maximum of 60 km/hr. | A.3.1 Site Selection and Planning A.3.4 Erosion and sediment control | А | ı | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established and proven management practices. | |

| Risk Event | Potential | Relevant | Risk Source | Initial Risk Ranking* | | | Mitigation and Management Measu | res | Residual Risk Ranking* | | | Effective | Uncertainty Ranking |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----|---|--|---|---------------------------|---|---|-----------|---|
| RISK EVENT | Impact | Environmental Factor | RISK Source | L | С | R | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | L | С | R | Controls | Uncertainty Kanking |
| | | | | | | | Water trucks will be used, to manage dust emissions from vehicle movement associated with hydraulic fracture activities as appropriate. | | | | | | |
| Creation of dust | Public ingesting dust | Human health | Vehicle and plant movements | D | II | 2 | Driving is only permitted on designated access. Speeds on unsealed roads will be limited to a maximum of 60 km/hr. Water trucks will be used, to manage dust emissions from vehicle movement and hydraulic fracture activities as appropriate. | A.3.1 Site Selection and Planning A.3.4 Erosion and sediment control | В | I | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established and proven management practices. |
| Creation of atmospheric emissions | Reduction in air quality | Air quality and greenhouse gas | Vehicle and plant movements | С | П | 2 | Vehicles and fixed plant maintained as per maintenance schedule. | A.3.1 Site Selection and Planning | В | ı | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks associated with diesel combustion are well known, both within Australia and Internationally. Methods for estimating emissions are available via the National Pollutant Inventory and NGERS. |
| Creation of atmospheric emissions | Reduction in air quality | Air quality and Atmospheric processes | Fugitive emissions | С | 11 | 2 | Wells to be constructed with cement isolation All cement slurries to be laboratory tested for ensure slurry is fit for purpose. Cement placement modelling conducted prior to the job including but not limited to casing standoff, anticipated job pressures and equivalent circulating densities A geohazard assessment was used to select the well locations to mitigating shallow gas hazards Baseline methane monitoring was completed by CSIRO prior to commencing stimulation as per the Code of Practice for Petroleum activities. Gas detection monitoring will be conducted during all phases of the hydraulic fracturing operations. All wells will be monitored every six months for any leaks Emissions will be reported in accordance with the NGERS. The Methane Emissions Management Plan (Appendix J) will be implemented | A.3.1 Site selection and planning D.4.1 Baseline Methane assessment D.5.9.4 Other fugitive emissions D.5.1 Methane Emissions management Plan | В | I | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks and impacts associated with fugitive emissions are well known. Emissions during petroleum activities are estimated using the NGERS estimation tools. |

| DV I D | Potential | Relevant | P: 1 C | | itial Ri Ranking | | Mitigation and Management Measu | res | | sidual F Ranking | | Effective | Uncertainty Ranking | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---------------------|---|--|--|---|---------------------|---|-----------|---|--|
| Risk Event | Impact | Environmental Factor | Risk Source | L | С | R | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | L | С | R | Controls | Uncertainty Kanking | |
| Creation of atmospheric emissions | Reduction in air quality | Air quality and Atmospheric processes | Production Testing flaring | F | = | 3 | Gas detection monitoring will be conducted during all phases of the flowback and production testing operations. All flaring will be measured using flow meters compliant with NGERS. Emissions will be reported in accordance with the NGERS. In accordance with Clause B.4.13.2(k) gas will be flared in the first instance, unless there is insufficient gas flow or there is a safety hazard The Methane Emissions Management Plan (Appendix J) will be implemented | D.5.9 Venting and Flaring D.4.1 Baseline Methane assessment D.4.3 Routine periodic atmospheric monitoring programme D.5.1 Methane Emissions management Plan | E | 1 | 2 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks and impacts associated with flaring activities are well understood and proven management practices are established. | |
| Noise and vibration from project activities | Disturbance to native fauna | Terrestrial ecosystems | Vehicle movements and hydraulic fracture activities | D | II | 2 | Engines/Machinery will be maintained as per planned maintenance systems. Engines/machinery will have noise suppression devices. Project activities will comply with the requirements of the Northern Territory Noise Management Framework Guideline. | A.3.1 Site selection and planning A.3.3 Noise | С | ı | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established and proven management practices. | |
| Noise and vibration from project activities | Disturbance to landholders | Communities and economy | Vehicle movements and hydraulic fracture activities | D | = | 2 | Engines/Machinery will be maintained as per planned maintenance systems. Engines/machinery will have noise suppression devices. Wells are located >8 km from the Tanumbirini homestead. Hydraulic fracturing activity and majority of vehicle movements will be limited to daylight hours. Project activities will comply with the requirements of the Northern Territory Noise Management Framework Guideline. | A.3.1 Site selection and planning A.3.3 Noise | В | I | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established and proven management practices. Land access agreements are in place and stakeholder engagement is ongoing. | |
| Light from project activities | Disturbance to native fauna | Terrestrial ecosystems | Production Testing | F | 2 | 3 | Night time operations restricted (e.g. No HFS pumping will occur at night) Lighting required for well operations (e.g. wireline, slickline, coiled tubing, and production testing) may will be limited to direct area immediately around the wellhead location. Lighting would be faced toward the wellhead and work areas to provide adequate lighting for safe operations, without excessive overspill. | D.5.9 Venting and Flaring D.4.1 Baseline Methane assessment D.4.3 Routine periodic atmospheric monitoring programme D.5.1 Emissions management Plan | С | 1 | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established and proven management practices. Santos has extensive experience in managing disturbance to native fauna. | |

| Risk Event | Potential | Relevant | Risk Source | | itial Ri Ranking | | Mitigation and Management Measu | res | | sidual I Ranking | | Effective | Uncertainty Ranking |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|---|---------------------|---|---|--|---|---------------------|---|-----------|--|
| KISK EVERT | Impact | Environmental Factor | RISK Source | L | С | R | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | L | С | R | Controls | Uncertainty Kanking |
| Light from project activities | Disturbance to native fauna | Terrestrial ecosystems | Vehicle movements and hydraulic fracture activities at night Lighting from camp. | F | - | 2 | Task focussed lighting will be used and all boundary lighting for the camp will be positioned to face inwards to provide adequate lighting for safe operations, without excessive overspill. Hydraulic fracturing activity and majority of vehicle movements will be limited to daylight hours. Lighting required for well operations (e.g. wireline, slickline, coiled tubing, and production testing) may will be limited to direct area immediately around the wellhead location. Lighting would be faced toward the wellhead and work areas to provide adequate lighting for safe operations, without excessive overspill. | A.3.1 Site selection and planning 4.3.2 Well pad site selection requirements | В | I | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established and proven management practices. Santos has extensive experience in managing disturbance to native fauna. |
| Light from project activities | Disturbance to landholders | Communities and economy | Vehicle movements and hydraulic fracture activities at night, Lighting from camp. | F | I | 2 | Task focussed lighting will be used and all boundary lighting will be positioned to face inwards to provide adequate lighting for safe operations, without excessive overspill. Wells are located >8 km from the Tanumbirini homestead. | A.3.1 Site selection and planning 4.3.2 Well pad site selection requirements | В | I | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established and proven management practices. Land access agreements are in place. |
| Fauna interaction | Disturbance, injury or death to terrestrial fauna | Terrestrial ecosystems | Vehicle movements, hydraulic fracture activities, flaring and entrapment | E | ı | 2 | Appropriate separation distances between flares and surrounding vegetation that provides fauna habitat Fauna ladders will be installed at all open pits. Driving is only permitted on designated access roads and seismic lines. Speeds on unsealed roads will be limited, with to a maximum of 60 km/hr. All tank pads are above ground, with steep sides, to prevent ease of animal entry. For produced water and flowback fluid treatment processes occurring outside of enclosed tanks, the minimum freeboard requirements detailed in the WWMP (1.5m for the wet season and 0.3m for the dry season) will be maintained in all tanks. In accordance with the Code flowback fluid will be transferred to enclosed / covered tanks at least 8 hours in advance of a forecast significant rainfall event. If monitoring shows the flowback fluid volume may exceed total storage capacity for enclosed tanks, flowback into tanks will cease. Options to manage flowback also include additional pond covers to increase the enclosed tank capacity, adding additional ponds with covers, and choking back well(s) to reduce flowback water rate. All HFS work tanks are enclosed. | A.3.5 Biodiversity protection A.3.8 Containment of contaminants | С | ı | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established and proven management practices (e.g. site roads are speed limited). Santos has extensive experience in managing fauna interactions and entrapment. |

| D. I. D. | Potential | Relevant | P: 1 C | | itial Ri: Ranking | | Mitigation and Management Measu | res | | sidual I Ranking | | Effective | |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|---|----------------------|---|---|---|---|---------------------|---|-----------|---|
| Risk Event | Impact | Environmental Factor | Risk Source | L | С | R | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | L | С | R | Controls | Uncertainty Ranking |
| | | | | | | | Daily Monthly checks of tank pads throughout the hydraulic fracturing program. Potential avian wildlife exposure to selected chemical additives and/or flowback assessed (See Appendix A) | | | | | | |
| Fauna interaction | Disturbance, injury or death to livestock | Communities and economy | Vehicle movements, hydraulic fracture activities, and entrapment. | E | I | 2 | Relevant landowners and occupiers are notified prior to the commencement of the activity. All gates are left in the condition in which they were found (i.e. open / closed). When necessary, all fences are restored to satisfaction of landowner / managers. Speeds on unsealed roads will be limited to a maximum of 60 km/hr. Pits and dams will be fenced. Daily Monthly checks infrastructure throughout the hydraulic fracture program | A.3.5 Biodiversity protection A.3.8 Containment of contaminants | С | I | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established and proven management practices (e.g. site roads are speed limited). |
| Introduction of pest species | Loss of native vegetation through competition for resources | Terrestrial ecosystems | Plant and vehicles carrying weeds from outside the project area. Spread of weeds in project area through vehicle movements. | D | Ш | 3 | A Weed Management Plan has been developed for the project (Appendix E). Mitigation measures described in the Weed Management Plan for the project will be implemented. | A.3.6 Weed management A.5.3 Biodiversity protection | В | Ш | 2 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established and proven management practices. Baseline weed survey complete and DEPWS approved weed management plans in place. |
| Introduction of pest species | Loss of pasture species through competition for resources | Communities and economy | Plant and vehicles carrying weeds from outside the project area. Spread of weeds in project area through vehicle movements. | D | II | 2 | A Weed Management Plan has been developed for the project (Appendix E). Mitigation measures described in the Weed Management Plan for the project will be implemented. | A.3.6 Weed management | В | II | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established and proven management practices. Baseline weed survey complete and DEPWS approved weed management plans in place. |

| Risk Event | Potential | Relevant Environmental | Risk Source | | nitial Ri Ranking | | Mitigation and Management Measu | res | | sidual I Ranking | | Effective | Uncertainty Ranking |
|------------|--|---------------------------|---|---|----------------------|---|---|--|---|---------------------|---|-----------|---|
| RISK EVEIR | Impact | Factor | Risk Source | L | С | R | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | L | С | R | Controls | Uncertainty Kanking |
| Fire | Disturbance or death to terrestrial fauna, loss of terrestrial flora | Terrestrial ecosystems | Ignition sources from plant and machinery Inappropriate disposal of cigarettes. | С | Ш | 2 | Implementation of Fire Management Plan (Section 7.2). Unplanned fires caused by Santo's activities recorded. Fire extinguishers available at location and trained personnel are one site during stimulation, flowback and testing activities. All vehicles will be equipped with portable fire extinguishers. Machinery and vehicles should be parked in areas of low fire risk. Any petrol motor vehicles or petrol-powered pumps will be fitted with spark arresters. All vehicles will be equipped with operational VHF and / or UHF radio transceivers. Smoking will only be permitted in areas clear of vegetation, and there will be no disposal of butts to land. All personnel will receive information prior to the commencement of the activity relating to: • Provisions of the Emergency Response Plan including procedures during a fire emergency • The operation of firefighting equipment and communications • Restricted smoking requirements meetings will be conducted to: • Alert the workforce of the fire risk level for the day • Discuss any fire risk management breaches and remedial actions | A.3.7 Fire management | В | П | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks associated with bushfire are well known, with numerous literature and NT Government mapping and management plans in place. |
| Fire | Disturbance or death to terrestrial fauna, loss of terrestrial flora | Terrestrial ecosystems | Production testing, flaring | С | III | 2 | Implementation of Fire Management Plan (Section 7.2). Firebreaks to be implemented around the lease with minimum setbacks to infrastructure based on flaring design. Flares will be located with at least 30m from vegetation to ensure safe operations during fire danger periods. The fire protection zone surrounding the lease pad and flare will be devoid of trees. Flares and flare stacks must be designed, prepared and operated in accordance with industry standards: ANSI B31.3, NACE MR-01-075, API 521, API 537. All flare pits and flare stacks must be positioned as per hazardous area classification. Flaring to have an appropriate buffer, with proper barriers to prevent access by wildlife. | D.5.9 Venting and Flaring D.4.1 Baseline Methane assessment D.5.1 Emissions management Plan | В | II | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks associated with bushfire are well known, with numerous literature and NT Government mapping and management plans in place. |

| D' L B | Potential | Relevant | D: LC | | nitial Ri Ranking | | Mitigation and Management Measu | res | | sidual I Ranking | | Effective | W |
|------------|--|----------------------------|--|---|----------------------|---|--|---|---|---------------------|---|-----------|---|
| Risk Event | Impact | Environmental Factor | Risk Source | L | С | R | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | L | С | R | Controls | Uncertainty Ranking |
| | | | | | | | The vertical flare stack will be monitored during flaring. Implementation of the Emergency Response Plan. | | | | | | |
| Fire | Injury or death to livestock, loss of pasture, dwellings and infrastructure | Communities and economy | Ignition sources from plant and machinery) Inappropriate disposal of cigarettes. | С | 111 | 2 | Implementation of Fire Management Plan (Section 7.2). Fire-fighting equipment and competent fire-fighting personnel will be available during stimulation, flowback and testing activities. All vehicles will be equipped with portable fire extinguishers. Machinery and vehicles should be parked in areas of low fire risk and be free of any combustible material. Alert neighbouring landholders in the event of a fire originating from Santos' activities. Any petrol motor vehicles or petrol-powered pumps will be fitted with spark arresters. All vehicles will be equipped with operational VHF and / or UHF radio transceivers. Smoking will only be permitted in areas clear of vegetation, and there will be proper disposal of butts. All personnel will receive information prior to the commencement of the activity relating to: Provisions of the Emergency Response Plan including procedures during a fire emergency The operation of firefighting equipment and communications Restricted smoking requirements meetings will be conducted to: Alert the workforce of the fire risk level for the day Discuss any fire risk management breaches and remedial actions. | A.3.7 Fire management | В | II | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks associated with bushfire are well known, with numerous literature and NT Government mapping and management plans in place. |
| Fire | Injury or death to livestock, loss of pasture, dwellings and infrastructure | Communities and economy | Production testing, flaring | С | Ш | 2 | Implementation of Fire Management Plan (Section 7.2). Firebreaks to be implemented around the lease with minimum setbacks to infrastructure based on flaring design. Flares will be located with at least 30m from vegetation to ensure safe operations during fire danger periods. Alert neighbouring landholders in the event of a fire originating from Santos' activities. The fire protection zone surrounding the lease pad and flare will be devoid of trees. | A.3.7 Fire management D.5.9 Venting and Flaring | В | II | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks associated with bushfire are well known, with numerous literature and NT Government mapping and management plans in place. |

| Risk Event | Potential | Relevant Environmental | Risk Source | | itial Ri Ranking | | Mitigation and Management Measu | res | | sidual I Ranking | | Effective | Uncertainty Ranking |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|---------------------|---|--|--|---|---------------------|---|-----------|---|
| RISK EVEIIT | Impact | Factor | Risk source | L | С | R | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | L | С | R | Controls | Oncertainty Kanking |
| | | | | | | | Flares and flare stacks must be designed, prepared and operated in accordance with industry standards: ANSI B31.3, NACE MR-01-075, API 521, API 537. All flare pits and flare stacks must be positioned as per hazardous area classification. Flaring to have an appropriate buffer, with proper barriers to prevent access by livestock. The vertical flare stack will be monitored during flaring. | | | | | | |
| Disturbance to landholder/pu blic | Disturbance to landholders activities | Communities and economy | Vehicle and plant movements throughout the project area | D | II | 2 | Relevant landowners and occupiers are notified prior to activity of preparation of camp sites and undertaking of operations. Unplanned fires caused by Santo's activities recorded. Inductions for all employees and contractors cover pastoral, conservation, legislation and infrastructure issues. System is in place for logging public/landholder complaints to ensure that issues are addressed. Damage to station tracks and fences is reported and restored to satisfaction of landowner / managers. All gates are left in the condition in which they were found (i.e. open / closed). Speeds on unsealed roads will be limited to a maximum of 60 km/hr. | A.3.1 Site selection and planning 4.3.2 Well pad site selection requirements | В | I | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established and proven management practices. Land access agreements are in place and stakeholder engagement is ongoing. |

| Risk Event | Potential | Relevant Environmental | Risk Source | | nitial Ri Ranking | | Mitigation and Management Measu | res | | sidual I Ranking | | Effective | Uncertainty Ranking |
|---|---|--|--|---|----------------------|---|---|---|---|---------------------|---|-----------|--|
| RISK EVERT | Impact | Factor | RISK Source | L | С | R | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | L | С | R | Controls | Uncertainty Kanking |
| Chemical spills and leaks associated with chemical and fuel storage and handling | Localised contamination of soil | Terrestrial Environmental Quality | Inappropriate storage or handling of hazardous substances, including stimulation fluid and flowback fluid wastewater. Poor refuelling or fuel transfer practices | D | 111 | 3 | Implementation of the Wastewater Management Plan (Appendix G). Implementation of the Spill Management Plan (Appendix H). In accordance with the Code flowback fluid will be transferred to enclosed / covered tanks at least 8 hours in advance of a forecast significant rainfall event. If monitoring shows the flowback fluid volume may exceed total storage capacity for enclosed tanks, flowback into tanks will cease. Options to manage flowback also include additional pond covers to increase the enclosed tank capacity, adding additional ponds with covers, and choking back well(s) to reduce flowback water rate. All HFS work tanks are enclosed. Bunded containment for storage of liquid hydraulic fracturing materials. Spill containment for storage of liquid hydraulic fracture chemicals Spill management kits located onsite for response to any small scale spills Use of drip trays for transfers. Remediation to commence immediately after spills, recorded in the Santos Incident Management System and reported to DEPWS when required. Fuel and other lubricants will be appropriately stored and managed, in accordance with industry standards. Pre-spud checks / Pre-job checks when transferring fluids Appropriate bunding in use for storage of chemicals and where required adherence to standards Hydraulic fracture fluid system mixed into small volumes as needed, contained and monitored in engineered fluid storage tanks. A multi-well WOMP has been developed to cover well activities. The multi-well HFS event will not commence until the multi-pad WOMP has been approved. Comprehensive spill modelling has been conducted (Attachment B, Appendix A). Chemical Risk Assessment of all chemical used in the proposed HFS (Appendix A). | A.3.8 Containment of contaminants B.4.16 Site material and fluid management C.4.2 Management of Produced water and Flowback Fluid C.7.2 Spill management plan | В | 111 | 2 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well-understood with established and proven management practices. Comprehensive spill modelling completed. Santos has extensive experience in conventional and unconventional petroleum wells in the NT and across Australia and this experience includes managing storage and handling of hazardous substances. |
| Chemical spills and leaks associated | Reduction in surface and groundwater water quality | Inland Water Environmental Quality | Inappropriate storage or handling of hazardous | D | III | 3 | Implementation of the Wastewater Management Plan (Appendix G). Implementation of the Spill Management Plan (Appendix H). | A.3.8 Containment of contaminants B.4.16 Site material and fluid management | В | II | 2 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established and proven management practices. Comprehensive |

| Risk Event | Potential | Relevant | Risk Source | | nitial Ri Ranking | | Mitigation and Management Measu | res | | sidual F Ranking | | Effective | Uncertainty Ranking |
|--|-----------|-------------------------|---|---|----------------------|---|--|--|---|---------------------|---|-----------|---|
| KISK EVENT | Impact | Environmental Factor | KISK Source | L | С | R | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | L | С | R | Controls | |
| with chemical and fuel storage and handling | | | substances, including stimulation fluid and flowback fluid wastewater. Poor refuelling or fuel transfer practices | | | | For produced water and flowback fluid treatment processes occurring outside of enclosed tanks, the minimum freeboard requirements detailed in the WWMP (1.5m for the wet season and 0.3m for the dry season) will be maintained in all tanks. In accordance with the Code flowback fluid will be transferred to enclosed / covered tanks at least 8 hours in advance of a forecast significant rainfall event. All HFS fluid tanks for make-up fluid are enclosed. Installation of pressure control equipment systems. Bunded containment for storage of hydraulic fluid. Spill containment for storage of liquid hydraulic fracture chemicals. Spill management kits located onsite for response to any small scale spills. Use of drip trays for transfers. Remediation to commence immediately after spills, recorded in the Santos Incident Management System and reported to DEPWS when required. Fuel and other lubricants will be appropriately stored and managed, in accordance with industry standards. Appropriate bunding in use for storage of chemicals and flowback fluid and where required adherence to standards. Hydraulic fracture fluid system mixed into small volumes as needed, contained and monitored in engineered fluid storage tanks. There is only one mix tank used during fracturing operations, and this tank is instrumented with tank levels and constantly supervised. There are work tanks with fresh water that do not have tank levels, but have constant supervision by personnel during fracturing operations. Freeboard design of engineered storage tanks allows for ease of control of flowback fluids without risk of overfilling. Comprehensive spill modelling has been conducted (Attachment B, Appendix A). Chemical Risk Assessment of all chemical used in the proposed HFS (Appendix A). | C.3 Well site water management C.4.2 Management of Produced water and Flowback Fluid C.7.2 Spill management plan | | | | | WOMP developed and approved before activity commences Comprehensive WOMP developed and approved before activity commences Santos has extensive experience in conventional and unconventional petroleum wells in the NT and across Australia. Control and monitoring bores as per Preliminary Guidelines: Groundwater Monitoring bores for Exploration Petroleum Wells in the Beetaloo Sub-Basin |

| Risk Event | Potential | Relevant Environmental | Risk Source | | itial Ri: Ranking | | Mitigation and Management Measur | res | | sidual F Ranking | | Effective | Uncertainty Ranking |
|---|---|--|---|---|----------------------|---|--|--|---|---------------------|---|-----------|--|
| RISK EVEIR | Impact | Factor | RISK 30th Ce | L | С | R | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | L | С | R | Controls | Unitertainty Kanking |
| Loss of stimulation fluid, flowback fluid recovery from a multi- well pad operation | Reduction in surface and groundwater water quality | Inland Water Environmental Quality | Insufficient isolation between wells in target formation at a multi-well pad operation. Poor well design. | С | Ш | 2 | Design requirements for a multi-well pad will be approved in the WOMP A geohazard assessment has been performed to mitigate for subsurface hazards such abnormal pressure zones, shallow gas, lost circulation and potential zones of instability. Hydraulic fracture diagnostics including pressure and ground motion accelerometer monitoring is used to determine the spatial extent and orientation of the induced fracture. Distance of target shale formation (Velkerri formation) from nearest high quality aquifer (Cambrian Limestone aquifer) is over 2000 m. Code of Practice: Onshore Petroleum Activities (the code) will be implemented. The code includes requirements for well operations and wastewater management. Chemical Risk Assessment of all chemical used in the proposed HFS (Appendix A) A multi-well WOMP has been developed to cover well activities. The multi-well HFS event will not commence until the multi-pad WOMP has been approved. Implementation of the Wastewater Management Plan (Appendix G). Implementation of the Spill Management Plan (Appendix H). | B.4.1 Well Integrity management B.4.2 Aquifer protection B.4.3 Well design and well barriers B.4.6 Casing and tubing B.4.7 Primary cementing B.4.9 Well control B.4.13 Hydraulic stimulation and flowback operations B.4.17 Groundwater monitoring C.4.2 Management of Produced water and Flowback Fluid C.7.2 Spill management plan | В | Ш | 2 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well-understood with established and proven management practices. Comprehensive WOMP developed and approved before activity commences Santos has extensive experience in conventional and unconventional petroleum wells in the NT and across Australia Control and monitoring bores as per Preliminary Guidelines: Groundwater Monitoring bores for Exploration Petroleum Wells in the Beetaloo Sub-Basin |

| Risk Event | Potential | Relevant Environmental | Risk Source | | nitial Ri Ranking | | Mitigation and Management Measu | res | | sidual I Ranking | | Effective | Uncertainty Ranking |
|---|--|--|--|---|----------------------|---|---|--|---|---------------------|---|-----------|--|
| RISK EVEIR | Impact | Factor | Nisk Source | | С | | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | | С | | Controls | oncertainty ranking |
| Loss of stimulation fluid, flowback fluid recovery | Reduction in groundwater and surface water quality | Inland Water Environmental Quality | Cross-flow during hydraulic fracture stimulation, Faults or major structures enables crossflow. | С | IV | 3 | Installation of pressure control equipment systems. A geohazard assessment has been performed to mitigate for subsurface hazards such abnormal pressure zones, shallow gas, lost circulation and potential zones of instability. Hydraulic fracture diagnostics including pressure and ground motion accelerometer monitoring is used to determine the spatial extent and orientation of the induced fracture. Distance of target shale formation from nearest aquifer of use is over 2000 m. Locating of wells off-structures using seismic data for control. Wells are located away from major faults and structures based on seismic data control; further seismic data acquisition planned where "dip" and "strike" line control is not available. Ground water monitoring bores installed on location prior to hydraulic fracture operations. Baseline monitoring conducted six months prior to and post hydraulic fracture operations. Shallow aquifers isolated behind cemented concentric casing strings. Cemented casing, following the Code of Practice requirements, will prevent aquifer cross-flow once well is constructed and passes well acceptance criteria. Specifically the casing is designed to: • Maintain hole stability and withstand all planned life cycle well loading conditions without loss of well integrity • Ensure the establishment of the well barriers required at various stages of the well life. • Ensure equivalent circulating density in the next hole section does not exceed the fracture propagation pressure while maintaining the required static overbalance. • Ensure the formation strength at the previous casing shoe or at a deeper zone will not be exceeded whilst circulating out a gas influx taken from the bottom of the open hole with the anticipated fluid weight and 0.5 ppg (60 g/l) kick intensity over prognoses formation pressure. Code of Practice: Onshore Petroleum Activities (the code) will be implemented. The code includes requirements for well operations and wastewater management. | B.4.1 Well Integrity management B.4.2 Aquifer protection B.4.3 Well design and well barriers B.4.6 Casing and tubing B.4.7 Primary cementing B.4.9 Well control B.4.13 Hydraulic stimulation and flowback operations B.4.17 Groundwater monitoring C.4.2 Management of Produced water and Flowback Fluid C.7.2 Spill management plan | В | II | 2 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well known and have been extensively assessed through the Scientific Inquiry into Hydraulic Fracturing in the Northern Territory (2018) Final Report. In addition the CSIRO regional baseline monitoring program is underway and the knowledge of the regional aquifers is well established. Comprehensive WOMP developed and approved before activity commences Santos has extensive experience in conventional and unconventional petroleum wells in the NT and across Australia. Control and monitoring bores as per Preliminary Guidelines: Groundwater Monitoring bores for Exploration Petroleum Wells in the Beetaloo Sub-Basin |

| Risk Event | Potential | Relevant Environmental | Risk Source | Ir I | nitial Ri Ranking | sk g* | Mitigation and Management Measu | res | | sidual F Ranking | | Effective | Uncertainty Ranking |
|------------|-----------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|----------------------|----------|--|------------------------------|---|---------------------|---|-----------|---------------------|
| RISK EVEIL | Impact | Factor | RISK SOUI CE | L | С | R | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | L | С | R | Controls | Oncertainty Ranking |
| | | | | | | | Chemical Risk Assessment of all chemical used in the proposed HFS (Appendix A) A multi-well WOMP has been developed to cover well activities. The multi-well HFS event will not commence until the multi-pad WOMP has been approved. | | | | | | |

| Risk Event | Potential | Relevant | Risk Source | | nitial Ri Ranking | | Mitigation and Management Measu | res | | sidual I Ranking | | Effective | Uncertainty Ranking |
|---|---|---------------------------|--|---|----------------------|---|---|--|---|---------------------|---|-----------|---|
| RISK EVEIIT | Impact | Environmental Factor | RISK Source | L | С | R | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | L | С | R | Controls | Officertainty Ranking |
| Loss of stimulation fluid, flowback fluid recovery | Impacts to groundwater dependant ecosystems | Terrestrial ecosystems | Cross-flow during hydraulic fracture stimulation, Faults or major structures enables crossflow. | С | IV | 3 | Installation of pressure control equipment systems. A geohazard assessment has been performed to mitigate for subsurface hazards such abnormal pressure zones, shallow gas, lost circulation and potential zones of instability. Hydraulic fracture diagnostics including pressure and ground motion accelerometer monitoring is used to determine the spatial extent and orientation of the induced fracture. Distance of target shale formation from nearest aquifer of use is over 2000 m. Locating wells off-structures using seismic data for control. Wells are located away from major faults and structures based on seismic data control; further seismic data acquisition planned where "dip" and "strike" line control is not available. Ground water monitoring bores installed on location prior to hydraulic fracture operations. Baseline monitoring conducted six months prior to hydraulic fracture operations. Shallow aquifers isolated behind cemented concentric casing strings. Cemented casing, following the Code of Practice requirements, will prevent aquifer cross-flow once well is constructed and passes well acceptance criteria. Code of Practice: Onshore Petroleum Activities (the code) will be implemented. The code includes requirements for well operations and wastewater management. Chemical Risk Assessment of all chemical used in the proposed HFS (Appendix A) A multi-well WOMP has been developed to cover well activities. The multi-well HFS event will not commence until the multi-pad WOMP has been approved. | B.4.1 Well Integrity management B.4.2 Aquifer protection B.4.3 Well design and well barriers B.4.6 Casing and tubing B.4.7 Primary cementing B.4.9 Well control B.4.13 Hydraulic stimulation and flowback operations B.4.17 Groundwater monitoring C.4.2 Management of Produced water and Flowback Fluid C.7.2 Spill management plan | В | II | 2 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well known and have been extensively assessed through the Scientific Inquiry into Hydraulic Fracturing in the Northern Territory (2018) Final Report. In addition the CSIRO regional baseline monitoring program is underway and the knowledge of the regional aquifers is well established. Chemical risk assessment and ecotox assessment conducted Santos has extensive experience in conventional and unconventional petroleum wells in the NT and across Australia. Control and monitoring bores as per Preliminary Guidelines: Groundwater Monitoring bores for Exploration Petroleum Wells in the Beetaloo Sub-Basin |

| Risk Event | Potential | Relevant Environmental | Risk Source | | nitial Ri Ranking | | Mitigation and Management Measu | res | | sidual F Ranking | | Effective | Uncertainty Ranking |
|---|---|--|---|---|----------------------|---|---|---|---|---------------------|---|-----------|---|
| MSK LVEIIL | Impact | Factor | Nisk Jource | L | С | | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | | С | | Controls | Oncertainty Kanking |
| Transport of chemicals and wastewater on unsealed roads during the wet season | Localised contamination of soil | Terrestrial environmental quality | Transport vehicle accident due to weather Transport vehicle stuck due to mechanical or weather events | С | Ш | 2 | A risk assessment of road conditions for heavy vehicle transport will be conducted prior to mobilisation on unsealed roads using detailed weather forecasting. Road conditions for heavy vehicle transport will be assessed prior to mobilisation on unsealed roads. If the conditions are assessed to be unsuitable for heavy vehicle transport, there will be no transport of chemicals or wastewater. In the event of a truck being stuck due to mechanical or weather reason, transfer or recovery will only occur once safe and the risk of spills are ALARP. Only licenced waste transporters to be used to transport listed wastes. The proposed activity has a Land Access and Compensation Agreement in place with the landholder which includes "make good" provisions in the event of damage to roads and other infrastructure on the property as a result of the activity. | A.3.8 Containment of contaminants C.7.2 Spill management plan | Α | II | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established management practices. Rainfall data and the use of enclosed tanks for transport. |
| Transport of chemicals and wastewater on unsealed roads during the wet season | Reduction in surface and groundwater water quality | Inland Water Environmental Quality | Transport vehicle accident due to weather Transport vehicle stuck due to mechanical or weather events | С | 2 | 2 | A risk assessment of road conditions for heavy vehicle transport will be conducted prior to mobilisation on unsealed roads using detailed weather forecasting. Road conditions for heavy vehicle transport will be assessed prior to mobilisation on unsealed roads. If the conditions are assessed to be unsuitable for heavy vehicle transport, there will be no transport of chemicals or wastewater. In the event of a truck being stuck due to mechanical or weather reason, transfer or recovery will only occur once safe and the risk of spills are ALARP. Only licenced waste transporters to be used to transport listed wastes. The proposed activity has a Land Access and Compensation Agreement in place with the landholder which includes "make good" provisions in the event of damage to roads and other infrastructure on the property as a result of the activity. | A.3.8 Containment of contaminants C.7.2 Spill management plan | Α | 2 | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established management practices. Rainfall data and the use of enclosed tanks for transport. |
| Waste | Fauna attracted to waste | Terrestrial ecosystems | Waste stored inappropriatel y attracting native fauna | F | II | 2 | Waste will be segregated and stored on site and all putrescible waste material will be held in fauna proof containers. Only waste from approved wastewater systems and grey water will be disposed of to land. Licenced waste contractor will be used for any offsite transfer or disposal. | C.7.1 Wastewater management plan | В | I | 1 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well- understood with established and proven management practices. Santos has extensive experience in managing wastes to avoid attracting native fauna. |

| Risk Event Potential | | Relevant | Diels Couves | Initial Risk Ranking* | | | Mitigation and Management Measures | | | sidual I Ranking | | Effective | Hagantaintu Danking |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--------------------------|----|---|--|---|---|---------------------|---|-----------|---|
| - RISK EVENT | Impact | Environmental Factor | Risk Source | L | С | R | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | L | С | R | Controls | Uncertainty Ranking |
| Waste | Reduction in surface water and groundwater quality | Inland Water Environmental Quality | Overflow of fluid storage tanks Leaks and Leaching from storage tanks Flowline failure | D | IV | 4 | Implementation of the Wastewater Management Plan (Appendix G). Implementation of the Spill Management Plan (Appendix H). Daily monitoring of weather and for predicted significant rainfall events will be undertaken. For produced water and flowback fluid treatment processes occurring outside of enclosed tanks, the minimum freeboard requirements detailed in the WWMP (1.5m for the wet season and 0.3m for the dry season) will be maintained in all tanks. All produced water and flowback fluid must be held in above-ground tanks at all times Stored volume and available freeboard for all produced water and flowback fluid storage facilities must be monitored at least weekly Flowback fluid tank levels and flowlines will be monitored during and after significant rainfall events. Flowback fluid tanks and will be appropriately designed and constructed with an impermeable containment barrier. Flowback fluid tank design includes, double lined tanks, leak detection systems, Tank pad will be bunded Bunded tank pad will accommodate the volume of the largest tank Tank storage volumes monitored for loss of containment, alarm system will be connected via telemetry. | A.3.8 Containment of contaminants B.4.16 Site material and fluids management C.4.2 Management of produced water and flowback fluid C.7.2 Spill management plan C.7.1 Wastewater management plan | В | III | 2 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well known and have been extensively assessed through the Scientific Inquiry into Hydraulic Fracturing in the Northern Territory (2018) Final Report. Comprehensive spill modelling completed. Chemical risk assessment and ecotox assessment conducted Santos has extensive experience in conventional and unconventional petroleum wells in the NT and across Australia including the management of fluids. |
| Waste | Impact to soil quality | Terrestrial Environmental Quality | Overflow of fluid storage tanks Leaks and Leaching from storage tanks Flowline failure | D | Ш | 2 | Storage tanks are designed and operated to prevent overtopping due to rainfall and designed with enough freeboard to accommodate total rainfall anticipated. Wastewater management contractor is required to have a Journey Management Plan All wastes to be transported in accordance with the NT Waste Management and Pollution Control Act All dangerous goods to be transported in accordance with the NT Dangerous Goods Act and Australian Dangerous Goods Code. Code of Practice: Onshore Petroleum Activities (the code) will be implemented. The code includes requirements for well operations and wastewater management. Implementation of an approved Spill Management Plan and Wastewater Management Plan, as defined by the code. | C.6.1 Water and Wastewater tracking and reporting C.7.2 Spill management plan | В | II | 2 | Yes | Type A Risk - Risks are well known and have been extensively assessed through the Scientific Inquiry into Hydraulic Fracturing in the Northern Territory (2018) Final Report. Comprehensive spill modelling completed. Chemical risk assessment and ecotox assessment conducted Santos has extensive experience in conventional and unconventional petroleum wells in the NT and across Australia including the management of fluids. |

Modification Notice - Regulation 22

| Risk Event Pot | Potential | Relevant Environmental Factor | Risk Source | Initial Risk Ranking* | | | Mitigation and Management Measures | | Residual Risk Ranking* | | | Effective | Uncertainty Ranking |
|----------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|-----------|---------------------|
| NISK EVEIIT | Impact | | | | С | R | EMP Commitments | Relevant Code of Practice | | С | | Controls | oncertainty Kanking |
| | | | | | | | A multi-well WOMP has been developed to cover well activities. The multi-well HFS event will not commence until the multi-pad WOMP has been approved. | | | | | | |

Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-1 Environmental Monitoring

| Monitoring program | Description | Frequency |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Induction Monitoring | Ensure induction records are kept to demonstrate what was covered in the induction and who was inducted | Following any site induction |
| Baseline soil monitoring | An assessment of physical properties of representative baseline soils at each well site will be conducted in accordance with the code. | Prior to establishing the well site |
| Daily Inspection Checklist | Daily Inspection during operations includes: Daily checks, using camera via telemetry or visual inspection, of storage tanks / ponds integrity Real time monitoring of conditions during HFS operations including gas detection monitoring. Daily monitoring of weather and for predicted significant rainfall events will be undertaken Daily checks of freeboard, using camera via telemetry or visual inspection. Monitoring freeboard during and after rainfall events, using camera via telemetry or visual inspection. Inspection of fences, excavations, pits, storages for entrapped fauna and fauna and to ensure escapes are intact. Daily checklist, using camera via telemetry or visual inspection, for fauna escapes intact. | Daily During operations |
| Flowback Fluid Monitoring | The fluid levels in tanks containing flowback fluids will be monitored to calculate the stored volume. | Daily During operations |
| Weather monitoring | Monitoring of weather and for predicted significant rainfall events will be undertaken. The Bureau of Meteorology have been engaged to provide rapid and accurate notifications in of a significant rainfall event | Daily During operations |
| Weed Monitoring | A post wet-season weed survey will be conducted of both lease pads and access tracks. All weed monitoring and survey activities will be recorded in accordance with the <i>NT Weed Data Collection Guidelines</i> | Annual to coincide with the end of the wet season |
| Groundwater Monitoring | Detect changes in groundwater as a result of drilling and stimulation activities. Monitoring will be done in accordance with Government guidelines for groundwater monitoring for petroleum operations such as <i>Preliminary Guideline: Groundwater Monitoring Bores for Exploration Petroleum Wells in the Beetaloo Subbasin.</i> | Ongoing For control monitoring bores - 6 months prior to drilling, and |

| Monitoring program | Description | Frequency |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | | preferably to include both wet season and dry season samples |
| | Volume of water that is abstracted from the water bore will be measured using flowmeter. This will by recorded weekly during bore operations | Ongoing |
| | Fluid levels in storages containing abstracted groundwater will be monitored to provide a measure of the stored quantity of water. | Monthly Daily during operations |
| Rehabilitation Monitoring | Photo points established and revisited as part of the civils scope. | Photo points established and revisited. |
| Operational Fire Monitoring | Whenever personnel are onsite, Onsite Company Representative is responsible for monitoring for bushfire alerts (primarily via the https://securent.nt.gov.au/alerts and https://www.bushfires.nt.gov.au/incidentmap/websites and notifying all site personnel of the risks of fire. Communication of these alerts will via the daily toolbox meetings conducted during operations whenever personnel are onsite. | Daily During operations |
| Fire Fuel Load Monitoring | Vegetation removal requirements will be assessed during the post wet weed survey when vegetation growth will be greatest. If required, slashing / grading will occur to remove well site vegetation. | Annual to coincide with the end of the wet season done in conjunction with the weed survey |